

Federal Court Decision Threatens Health Coverage in Wisconsin

Written by Citizen Action of Wisconsin Press
Thursday, 19 December 2019 16:46 -

<http://newiproggressive.com/images/stories/S5/healthcare-family-dr-s5.jpg>



Wisconsinites with pre-existing conditions, marketplace health insurance, at grave risk of having coverage taken away by right-wing federal judges.

MILWAUKEE - Late yesterday, the unending drive by conservative politicians to rip health coverage away from millions Americans, and re-legalize insurance discrimination, came a step closer to tearing apart the health care system. A Republican dominated Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals panel [ruled in favor](#) of the Trump administration in Texas vs. United States, striking down the Affordable Care Act's individual mandate.

President Donald Trump and U.S. Attorney General William Barr are using the case as a vehicle for invalidating the entire ACA, including the popular protections against pre-existing condition discrimination. The judges, both Republican appointees, remanded the decision on the other elements of the ACA to the same right-wing lower court judge who already struck it down last year.

This morning, Citizen Action of Wisconsin teamed up with Wisconsin Attorney General Josh Kaul and Protect Our Care to hold a [media briefing](#) on the implications of this development for Wisconsin. You can listen to the entire media call [here](#)

. Speakers made the case that judicial action invalidating the ACA is a clear and present danger.

Federal Court Decision Threatens Health Coverage in Wisconsin

Written by Citizen Action of Wisconsin Press
Thursday, 19 December 2019 16:46 -

In addition, Citizen Action is urging lawmakers to codify the ACA's consumer protections and health insurance regulations in state law to protect Wisconsinites in the event of a damaging U.S. Supreme Court decision. Senate Bill 37, sponsored by Sen. Jon Erpenbach, is a huge step in this direction. Citizen Action is also urging lawmakers to create a plan, triggered by an adverse court decision striking down the ACA, to replace marketplace plans for people who buy insurance on their own and the federal subsidies that make them more affordable.

If the ACA was struck down the following provisions would be eliminated from federal law:

- Guaranteeing coverage to individuals with pre-existing conditions, impacting an estimated 852,000 to 2.436 million Wisconsinites.
- Prohibition of price discrimination against individuals with pre-existing conditions. In Wisconsin without this protection a patient with a pregnancy could be charged \$17,060 more, and someone with metastatic cancer could be charged \$140,510 more.
- Free coverage of preventative care such as mammograms and colonoscopies for 2,804,258 Wisconsinites.
- Mental health parity.
- Prohibition of gender discrimination in coverage and pricing.
- Prohibition of age discrimination in pricing, the so-called "age tax."
- Prohibition of lifetime and annual care limits.
- Protections against junk health care plans by requiring that all essential health benefits be covered.
- Substance abuse coverage.
- Resources and funds to hold insurance companies accountable to regulation.

In addition, 153,000 Wisconsinites would have their health coverage taken away if the ACA was struck down, according to [a recent study](#) by the Urban Institute.

Milwaukee resident Megan McGee, who lives with pre-existing conditions, described her reaction to the ruling and the threat to the ACA: "I've had back problems and birth complications. I'm told there are no long-term effects of either. But will insurers care? Without the ACA, could I get kicked off my insurance plan? When I think about the possibility of the ACA being overturned, I don't worry about one thing. I worry about many things: what will happen to me, my 2 year old, a family member who is mentally ill, and my 2 employees."

Federal Court Decision Threatens Health Coverage in Wisconsin

Written by Citizen Action of Wisconsin Press

Thursday, 19 December 2019 16:46 -



and the Supreme Court's decision in *Sebelius v. Hobby Lobby*, which held that the Affordable Care Act's (ACA) contraceptive coverage mandate violated the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA). The Supreme Court's decision in *Hobby Lobby* was a 5-4 decision, with the majority opinion written by Justice Alito. The majority held that the ACA's contraceptive coverage mandate violated RFRA because it substantially burdened the exercise of the religious freedom of the closely-held corporations Hobby Lobby and Conestoga Wood Specialties. The majority also held that the ACA's contraceptive coverage mandate was not the least restrictive means of achieving the government's interest in providing contraceptive coverage to employees.